BorgWarner's Appendix of Summary Judgment Exhibits

Exhibit 22

2 Deposition of International Union, United Automotive, Aerospace & Agricultural Implement Workers of America (Feb. 1, 2012) (Richard Isaacson)

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

:

WILLARD L. SLOAN, EUGENE J. WINNINGHAM, and JAMES L. KELLEY,

: Case 2:09-cv-10918-PDB-MKM

Plaintiffs,

U.S. District Judge Paul D. Borman

v.

: U.S. Mag. Judge Mona K. Majzoub

BORGWARNER, INC., BORGWARNER: DIVERSIFIED TRANSMISSION PRODUCTS INC., and BORGWARNER: FLEXIBLE BENEFITS PLANS,

Defendants.

Detroit, Michigan

Wednesday, February 1, 2012

Deposition of

RICHARD ISAACSON, VOLUME II

a witness of lawful age, taken on behalf of the Defendant in the above-entitled action, before Gail R. McLeod, RPR, CSR 2901, at 8000 East Jefferson Avenue, commencing at 12:50 p.m.

> Diversified Reporting Services, Inc. (202) 467-9200

APPEARANCES:

Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiffs:

DAVID R. RADTKE, ESQ.
Klimist, McKnight, Sale, McClow & Canzano, P.C.
400 Galleria Officentre, Suite 117
Southfield, Michigan 48034
(248) 354-9650
dradtke@kmsmc.com

Appearing on behalf of the Defendants:

JOSHUA D. ROGACZEWSKI, ESQ.
McDermott, Will & Emery, L.L.P.
600 Thirteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-3096
jrogaczewski@mwe.com

Appearing on behalf of the Witness:

BARRY A. MACEY, ESQ.
Macey, Swanson and Allman
445 N. Pennsylvania Street, Suite 401
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
(317) 637-2345
bmacey@maceylaw.com

2:09-cv-10918-PDB-MKM Doc # 99-2 Filed 05/14/12 Pg 4 of 97 Pg ID 3966

		Page 3
30	Health Care Resolution	17
31	E-mail from Linda Ewing	23
32	National Health Care Resolution	25
33	Benefits Overview	28
34	Power Point Presentation	32
35	Health Care Document	37
36	January 2006 Report	61
37	March 16, 2004 Report	66
38	February 2005 Report	69
39	GM Benchmarked to Toyota	91
40	Post-Retirement Benefits	92
41	A Comparison of Benefits, Draft (Exhibits attached to transcript)	94

```
Page 4
                        PROCEEDINGS
 1
 2
               Whereupon,
 3
                           RICHARD ISAACSON
 4
               having first been duly sworn, was examined and
    testified as follows:
 5
 6
                              EXAMINATION
               BY MR. ROGACZEWSKI:
 8
          Q
            Good afternoon. How are you today?
 9
         A
             Fine. Thank you.
10
            Can you state and spell your name for the
          Q
11
    record?
12
             My name is Richard Allen, A-L-L-E-N, Isaacson,
     I-S-A-A-C-S-O-N.
13
14
          Q
              Are you feeling well this afternoon, Mr.
15
     Isaacson?
16
         Α
            Yeah.
             Have you taken any prescription medication in
17
18
     the last 24 hours?
19
          Α
              No.
20
          Q
             Have you taken any non-prescription medication
21
     in the last 24 hours?
22
         A
              Yes.
```

```
Page 5
               Would that medication affect your memory?
 1
          Q
 2
               No.
          Α
 3
               Would taking that medication affect your
          Q
 4
     ability to provide testimony today on behalf of the
 5
     UAW?
 6
               I don't believe so.
          Α
 7
               MR. ROGACZEWSKI: This is a previously marked
     exhibit from the first part of the deposition.
 8
 9
               BY MR. ROGACZEWSKI:
10
               Mr. Isaacson, I'm showing you what was marked
          Q
     in the first part of the deposition of the UAW as
11
12
     Exhibit 2 which is a subpoena to testify in a
13
     deposition in a civil action. Are you familiar with
     this document?
14
15
          Α
               Yes.
               How are you familiar with this document?
16
          Q
               I was shown it by Counsel.
17
          Α
18
          Q
               What is this document?
               My understanding, it's a subpoena. That's the
19
     reason I'm here today.
20
21
               Did the UAW ask you to testify today on its
          Q
22
     behalf?
```

```
Page 6
               Yes.
 1
 2
               Did the UAW ask you to testify about specific
          Q
 3
     topics?
 4
               They told me that I was going to be asked some
     questions about retiree health care and they told me it
 5
 6
     had something to do with BorgWarner.
 7
               Do you recall specifically what topic about
          Q
     which the UAW asked you to testify?
 8
 9
          Α
               No.
10
               Exhibit 2 has an attachment with a numbered
          0
     list, the last page of the exhibit. The 9th item of
11
12
     the list reads, "The level of post-retirement health
13
     benefits demanded currently by the UAW in collective
14
     bargaining negotiations." Did the UAW ask you to
15
     testify about this topic?
16
          Α
               Yes.
               Did the UAW ask you to testify about any other
17
          Q
18
     topic on the list?
19
          Α
               No.
20
          Q
               What is the basis for your knowledge about
21
     topic nine?
               I'm an international representative for the
22
          Α
```

Page 7 UAW which as part of my responsibility is negotiating 2 labor agreements. 3 For what region are you an international Q 4 representative? I'm an international rep for the international 5 I don't belong to any specific region. 6 union. I need you to help me understand that. As an 7 8 international representative, what areas of the UAW do 9 you have responsibility for? IPS, independents and parts supplier sectors. 10 I'm actually an administrative assistant is my actual 11 12 title, but I'm known as an international 13 representative. Everybody that works for the international union has the title of international 14 15 representative. We just some of us have different 16 subtitles. Like we have vice presidents. presidents. We have lawyers -- well, none of these 17 18 guys, but we have lawyers. They're called 19 international reps. I happen to be an administrative assistant. 20 oversee the national IPS department for the UAW and my 21 boss is the vice president. She actually is the 22

Page 8 director and I work for her. 1 2 Who is your boss? Q Vice President Cindy Estrada is my direct 3 supervisor or my vice president. We really don't like 4 5 the boss term, but she tells me what to do. She's my 6 leader. 7 I understand. Ms. Estrada, is she -- it's my 8 understanding that some vice presidents have 9 responsibility for certain areas of industries or 10 employers that the UAW represent employees at. Does 11 Ms. Estrada have a particular area for which she's 12 responsible? 13 She's the director of the national IPS department, as well as the director of the women's 14 department, as well as the director of health care 15 16 servicing. She also oversees the state workers, State 17 of Michigan. 18 Q How long have you been an assistant to Ms. 19 Estrada? 20 Since she became vice president in 2010, June of 2010. 21 22 Were you employed by the UAW before that? Q

Page 9 1 Α Yes, I was. 2 What was your position at the UAW before that? Q I was the administrative assistant over the 3 Α 4 international IPS department for then Vice President Bob King. 5 6 For how long did you assist Mr. King? Q As his administrative assistant for four 7 years. The previous years before that, I was his 8 9 assistant director. So I worked for Bob for eight years, two different roles. 10 11 From 2002 to 2010 when you were working with Q 12 Mr. King, your area of responsibility was the national 13 IPS department? Yeah. I just wasn't the administrative 14 15 assistant for all eight. Were you employed by the UAW prior to 2002? 16 Q Yes, I was. 17 Α 18 And what was your job at the UAW prior to Q 19 2002? 20 I was an assistant director in the national Α 21 IPS department for then Vice President Elizabeth Bunn. 22 Q Is that B-O-N-N?

```
Page 10
               B-U-N-N.
 1
 2
               How long have you been either an
          Q
     administrative assistant or an assistant director to
 3
 4
     the director of the national IPS department?
               Since -- to the best of my recollection, since
 5
     probably February of 2000 I think is when I got
 6
 7
     promoted to AD.
 8
               Were you in the national IPS department before
 9
     then?
               Just for a very short period of time as an
10
     international rep. I came down to Detroit in December
11
12
     of 1999 to Solidarity House.
13
               In your role as either an assistant director
14
     or as an administrative assistant in the national IPS
15
     department, what sorts of things would you do to
16
     educate yourself on the issues of retiree health care
17
     benefits?
18
          A
               Read contracts I guess.
19
               Would you attend any outside seminars?
          Q
20
          Α
               No.
21
               Did the UAW have a practice of offering any
          Q
22
     internal seminars on retiree health care benefits?
```

Page 11 1 No. 2 Did you subscribe to any magazines or journals Q 3 that dealt specifically with the issue? 4 Α No. 5 Would you read contracts after they were Q entered or as part of the negotiation process? 6 7 As part of the negotiation process. So sometimes would you read draft contracts? 8 I'm not sure if you would call them draft 9 contracts, but draft language as a result of being in 10 11 negotiations, yeah. 12 Would you consult on a regular basis with the 0 13 Social Security department about retiree health care benefits? 14 15 I don't believe so. I mean I'm not sure what you mean by regular. Have I had conversations with 16 17 them before? Yes. 18 Outside of your personal experience as a Q 19 long-term international rep for the national IPS 20 department, is there any other basis today for 21 the -- basis for the testimony that you're going to 22 provide today?

```
Page 12
               I don't believe so.
 1
          Α
               Outside of your counsel, did you interview
 2
          Q
 3
     anyone?
               Interview anyone pertaining to what?
 4
          Α
 5
               To the testimony, to get information, to be
          Q
 6
     able to testify today?
 7
               I talked to one of our in-house attorneys.
 8
               I'm not interested in your conversations with
          Q
 9
     either the UAW's in-house or outside counsel.
                                                     I'm just
10
     trying to understand what the sources of knowledge are
11
     in addition to your personal experience.
12
               I don't believe there is any other than my
     personal experience. I've done this job for many
13
14
     years.
15
               I didn't mean to suggest that your experience
          0
16
     wasn't substantial.
17
               I'm not indicating that you did. I just --
          Α
18
          Q
               Did you bring your curriculum vitae with you
19
     today?
20
               I don't understand.
21
               Okay. Did you bring your CV or resume with
          Q
22
     you?
```

```
Page 13
               No.
 1
 2
          Q
               Okay.
 3
               What's a CV?
          Α
 4
               It's a two-letter abbreviation for curriculum
 5
     vitae.
 6
          Α
               No.
 7
               Fancy Latin term. Where did you attend
          Q
 8
     secondary school?
 9
               Secondary school as in college?
          Α
10
               High school.
          Q
11
          Α
               High school. Clawson High School.
12
               That's C-L-A-U --
          Q
               No, C-L-A-W-S-O-N. That's in Michigan.
13
          Α
14
          Q
               In what city is Clawson High School?
15
               In Clawson.
          Α
               When did you complete your education at
16
          Q
     Clawson High School?
17
18
               I graduated in 1975.
               Did you attend any other school after Clawson?
19
20
               Yeah. I've taken some classes at Oakland
21
     Community College.
22
               Have you received a degree from Oakland
```

Page 14 Community College? 1 2 Α No. 3 In what area or areas were the classes that Q 4 you took? 5 Α Mostly basic, but some business. 6 Q Basic? 7 Fundamentals, math, English. Α Not computer programming? 8 Q No. Actually, I did take one computer 9 Α programming class, you know, basic, back when they 10 wrote with floppy disks. This is going back a while. 11 12 I actually remember the computer language Q 13 Basic. We had to write something about an ice cream 14 15 place and figured out if they sold 75 sundaes, how much 16 ice cream they used, basic programming. Have you attended any other classes? 17 Q 18 Α No. 19 Because your testimony is being recorded, it's Q 20 important that you try not to interrupt or speak over myself, Mr. Macey or Mr. Radtke. Do you understand 21 22 that?

Page 15 1 Α Yes. 2 For similar reasons, you have to provide Q verbal responses. Nodding your head or shaking your 3 4 head or saying uh-huh or um-hmm won't register very 5 well with the court reporter. Do you understand that? 6 Α Yes. 7 If you want a break, let me know, but I ask 8 that you answer any question that is pending before 9 breaking. Do you understand that? Yes. 10 Α 11 And finally, if you do not understand a Q 12 question, please let me know and I will do my best to 13 ask the question better if I can do so. Do you 14 understand that? 15 Α Yes. Mr. Isaacson, does the UAW have any internal 16 policies regarding the negotiation of retiree health 17 18 care benefits? 19 Α No. 20 Does it have any guidance that it provides to Q 21 negotiators about retiree health care benefits? 22 Α No.

Page 16 So would it be correct to say that negotiators 1 Q 2 dealing with employers are guided by their own 3 experiences and background in negotiating retiree 4 health care benefits? 5 In most cases, but we have different departments that, you know, when things are outside our 6 7 expertise we might lean on. Like you mentioned the Social Security department. If I'm in bargaining and 8 9 somebody wants to change some provider list, you know, I might go to the Social Security department to give me 10 a disruption report, you know, things that are beyond 11 12 my expertise that I don't have the ability to get. 13 Does the Social Security department ever 14 provide any, not specific to that negotiation perhaps, 15 but any overarching guidance about retiree health care benefits? 16

- Α 17 No.
- 18 Is there anything else that a union Q 19 negotiating team would have to guide it in negotiating retiree health care benefits?
- 21 Α No.
- 22 //

20

```
Page 17
                                 (Exhibit No. 30 was marked for
 1
 2
                                 identification.)
 3
          Q
               Handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 30,
 4
     which is titled at the top Health Care Resolution 2007
 5
     Skilled Trades Conference, are you familiar with this
 6
     document?
 7
                    Explain to me what you mean by familiar.
 8
               Have you seen it before?
 9
               Not this particular document, no.
10
               Are you suggesting that you've seen --
          Q
               I've seen health care resolutions made for our
11
     conferences before.
12
13
               This references a skilled trades conference?
          Q
               Yes.
14
15
               How often does the skilled trades conference
          Q
16
     convene?
17
          Α
               They used to convene every year, but somewhat
18
     less than that now.
19
          Q
               Skilled trades are recognized as an area
20
     that's often negotiated separately that has very
     specific rules and things like that. Are there other
21
22
     conferences in which a health care resolution would be
```

```
Page 18
     made?
 1
 2
               At our constitutional convention.
               Is there a similar conference for benefits?
 3
          Q
 4
          Α
               No.
 5
               Okay. On page five of this resolution,
          Q
     there's a list of what the focus in this round of
 6
 7
     bargaining should be. Do you have any idea what the
 8
     round of bargaining would be that's being referred to
 9
     in the resolution?
               I don't know specifically, but I would assume
10
     it was Big Three bargaining possibly.
11
12
               The skilled trades -- have you attended
          Q
13
     skilled trades conferences before?
14
          Α
               Yes.
15
               Do they deal with employers other than the Big
16
     Three?
17
          Α
               Yes.
18
          Q
               Okay. The items of focus include rejecting
19
     employers' attempts to eliminate or reduce retiree
20
     health care coverage. Do you see that?
21
               Yes.
          Α
22
          Q
               In your experience, what consideration does
```

Page 19 the economic climate play in achieving that focus? 1 2 I'd say it has a lot to do with it. 3 Q How so? 4 Well, if an employer doesn't have any money, 5 then they might have to talk about future retiree 6 health care. 7 You understand this to be describing elimination or reduction of future retiree health care 8 9 coverage? I don't know that. 10 Your last answer said sometimes you would have 11 Q 12 to talk about --13 Yeah, because --14 Q Right. Are there situations in which the 15 economic climate could be such that you would discuss 16 current retirees' benefits? 17 Α Yes. 18 What sort of situations would generate, would Q 19 counsel a negotiator to discuss current retiree health care benefits? 20 21 Bankruptcy. 22 Q Anything else?

```
Page 20
               No.
 1
 2
               Are there considerations besides economic ones
          Q
 3
     that affect the focus of rejecting attempts to
 4
     eliminate or reduce retiree health care coverage?
 5
               In general?
          Α
 6
               Yes.
          Q
               I believe so.
          Α
               What are those?
 8
          Q
               I think contract language.
 9
          Α
10
               How does contract language affect a
          Q
     negotiator's attempts to reject employers' attempts to
11
12
     eliminate or reduce health care coverage?
               I guess the contract language, if it's -- I
13
     quess it comes down to whether it's a vested benefit or
14
15
     not.
16
               And what would you look -- what does a
     negotiator look at to make that assessment?
17
18
          Α
               Contract language, pension plans, health care
19
     agreements.
20
          Q
               The prior contracts?
21
               Possibly prior contracts. I mean mostly the
     one you're currently dealing with.
22
```

- 1 Q When you say the one you're dealing with, you
- 2 mean the one immediately prior to the one you're
- 3 negotiating?
- A No. If you're negotiating your agreement,
- 5 there's an agreement in effect at that point in time.
- 6 Q I think I understand. I think we're saying
- 7 the same thing.
- 8 A Okay.
- 9 Q Because the one, when you're negotiating the
- 10 next contract, you're operating under the current
- 11 contract?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q Got it. One of the other items in the list of
- 14 what the focus should be is providing continued health
- 15 care coverage in the event of full or partial plant
- 16 closings. Are there situations in which a plant
- 17 closing might not result in continuation of the health
- 18 care benefits?
- 19 A Yeah. If there's a plant closing and you've
- 20 terminated the agreement as a result of that plant
- 21 closing and there's no agreement to do any continuation
- 22 of health care for the active employees, yeah.

- 1 Q So the goal might be to continue it, but there
- 2 might be factors that cut against the UAW's ability to
- 3 achieve the goal?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q The last item of focus that I wanted to ask
- 6 you about is the second to last one which says updating
- 7 and expanding coverage to include new and appropriate
- 8 medical procedures and technologies. When trying to
- 9 achieve that focus in bargaining, what role does the
- 10 cost of those new procedures or technologies play?
- 11 A I guess a pretty big role. I mean when you're
- 12 negotiating a contract, you're negotiating an entire
- 13 agreement, so every piece of that has something to do
- 14 with another piece. In other words, if you want to
- 15 improve -- let's say you want to add doctor's office
- 16 calls. If that's going to be -- the cost of adding a
- doctor office call to the health care plan is going to
- 18 make it so you don't get a wage increase because
- 19 there's no money left for a wage increase, then you're
- 20 going to have to make a judgment call based on what the
- 21 membership's priorities are.
- 22 Q So sometimes negotiators have to make choices

```
Page 23
     about the economic components of the agreement they're
 1
 2
     negotiating?
 3
               Well, negotiators and memberships. I mean our
 4
     contracts are ratified by our members, so they have the
 5
    ultimate decision.
 6
               MR. MACEY: Excuse me, Josh, what number was
     this document?
7
 8
               MR. ROGACZEWSKI: 30.
 9
               MR. MACEY: 30.
10
                                (Exhibit No. 31 was marked for
                                identification.)
11
               BY MR. ROGACZEWSKI:
12
13
               You're being handed what's been marked as
          Q
    Exhibit 31, which is a February 2nd, 2006 E-mail from
14
15
    Linda Ewing to Paul Krell and Alan Reuther. Are you
     familiar with this document?
16
17
          Α
               No.
18
          Q
             Who is Linda Ewing?
19
               Linda Ewing is the director of the UAW
     research department.
20
21
               Who's Paul Krell?
          Q
22
         A Paul Krell used to work for the UAW public
```

- 1 relations department. I believe he was the director,
- 2 emphasis on I believe.
- 3 Q I understand. Who is Alan Reuther?
- 4 A Alan Reuther was the legislative director for
- 5 the UAW. Again, I'm not sure if his actual title was
- 6 director but --
- 7 Q There's a cc listed at the bottom, Chuck
- 8 Gayney. Who is Mr. Gayney?
- 9 A Chuck Gayney was the director of the UAW
- 10 Social Security department.
- 11 Q The third paragraph of Ms. Ewing's E-mail
- 12 makes this observation. "To me, this" -- she's writing
- 13 about a decision that Nissan has made regarding health
- care benefits and she observes, "To me, this reinforces
- 15 the point that legacy costs aren't a matter of union
- versus nonunion or the lumbering, inefficient," quote,
- "irrelevant," unquote, "Big Three versus the nimble
- 18 transplants or any of that crap. It's about new
- 19 entrants versus older firms and as the new entrants
- 20 age, it's becoming an issue for them, too. Hence the
- 21 need for a policy response."
- Do you know when she uses the word entrants,

```
Page 25
     to what she's referring?
 2
          Α
               No, I don't.
               Is that a term of art used within the UAW?
 3
 4
               I've never heard it before.
 5
               Okay. She mentions the need for a policy
          Q
     response. Does the term policy response have a
 6
 7
     particular meaning within the UAW?
 8
          Α
               No.
 9
               You're not aware of any policies regarding
          Q
10
     retiree health care benefits?
11
               No.
          Α
12
                                (Exhibit No. 32 was marked for
13
                                identification.)
14
               You've been handed what's been marked Exhibit
15
     32 which appears to be a resolution at a convention,
     offered at a convention in 2006 entitled National
16
17
     Health Care. Are you familiar with this document?
               I'm not familiar with this particular
18
19
     document, no.
20
               Do you know what convention this was offered
21
     at?
         A Well, based on what I'm looking at, I'm
22
```

Page 26 assuming it was for the 2006 convention. 1 2 Was it a particular type of convention? I'm assuming it was the 2006 constitutional 3 4 convention, but it's a pure assumption on my part. 5 Was there a constitutional convention in 2006? Q I think there was. 6 Α 7 Did you attend the constitutional convention Q in 2006? 8 9 Yes. Α 10 Do you recall a resolution at that convention on national health care? 11 I'm sure there was. Usually at every 12 convention we do a resolution on national health care, 13 something we believe in in our organization. 14 15 Q How would you characterize the organization's 16 beliefs regarding national health care? We believe it's important, Walter Reuther, 17 18 going back that far. 19 When you talk about national health care, to 20 what are you referring? 21 Personally, I'm referring to single payer. 22 Q Is that the UAW's position?

Page 27 No, I think the UAW's position is that 1 2 everybody in this country should have health care. Ιt 3 should be a right, not a privilege. 4 On page seven, which is the second page of 5 this document, the resolution states in the first 6 paragraph under retiree health care, "An increasing 7 number of employers are reneging on career long 8 promises by reducing or eliminating retirees' benefits. 9 For example between 1988 and 2004, the number of large 10 employers (more than 200 employees) offering retiree health care declined from 66 percent to 36 percent." 11 12 How does that trend impact the UAW's 13 bargaining for retiree health care benefits? It makes it more difficult. 14 15 Q Why? 16 Because less people are offering it. Does it impact the UAW's -- does it impact the 17 Q 18 UAW's bargaining regarding, if any, regarding current 19 retiree health care benefits? I don't believe so. 20 21 Is that statistic dropping from 66 percent to Q 22 36 percent, is that a statistic that was well known

```
Page 28
     within the UAW in that time frame?
 2
               I'm not sure if the statistic was well known.
     The event was well known.
 3
 4
               The event, you mean -- do you mean the trend?
          Q
 5
          Α
               The trend.
 6
          Q
               Of employers, the number of employers wanting
 7
     to provide retiree health care benefits dropping?
 8
               I think that was known in the UAW.
 9
               Does that trend persist today?
          Q
10
          Α
               Yes.
                                 (Exhibit No. 33 was marked for
11
                                 identification.)
12
13
               You've been handed what's been marked as
          Q
14
     Exhibit 33 which is a two-page document entitled
15
     Benefits Overview. Are you familiar with this
16
     document?
17
          Α
               No.
18
          Q
               Does this look like a document created by the
19
     UAW?
20
               Yeah, it looks like it.
               What makes you say that it looks like a
21
22
     document created by the UAW?
```

Page 29 Well, because one, a benefits overview; I see 1 a name down here, Jim Shake of the Social Security 2 department staff. He's a UAW guy, works in our Social 3 4 Security department, so that's why I gave you that 5 answer. And then again, International UAW on the 6 bottom. 7 Outside of the Bates number at the bottom, 8 what about this document -- is there anything else 9 about this document that suggests --The page that says benefits overview 10 No. because I've seen similar type documents not on 11 12 benefits, but just on overviews and things of that nature and again, as I said, Jim Shake's name on there. 13 14 So I'm assuming it's got something to do with us 15 because he's an employee of the international union. What about the use of the subtitles in the 16 left hand column, is that a style employed by the UAW 17 18 or any one of the departments? 19 Α This here you mean? 20 Yes. Q 21 No, I've never seen that. That's the 22 only -- no, I'm not used to that.

Page 30 1 Q This is part of -- appears to be a part of a 2 larger document. Page seven, the second page -- the 3 page numbered seven, the second page of the document 4 has a series of bullet points with data from the Segal 5 Health Plan Cost Trend Survey. Do you know what the 6 2000 -- do you know what the Segal Health Plan Cost 7 Trend Survey is? No, but I'm assuming it's -- no, I don't. I'm 8 assuming it's something Segal did. 9 10 Q Do you know who Segal is? 11 Α Yes. 12 Q Who is Segal? 13 Α An actuarial firm. 14 Q Is Segal an actuarial firm engaged by the UAW? 15 I don't know if they're engaged today. I'm 16 assuming we probably have used them in the past. used several different actuarial firms, outside 17 18 consultants. 19 Do you recall instances in which the UAW has Q 20 used the Segal firm? 21 Not specifically, no.

Each of these bullet points suggests that the

22

Q

- 1 costs of medical benefits is increasing and it
- 2 addresses it for overall plan costs, prescription drug
- 3 costs, the annual cost of providing the coverage, a
- 4 specific bullet about retirees and health care as a
- 5 share of GDP. Was it well understood within the UAW
- 6 the issue in this time frame, sort of 2009 and to the
- 7 present, that the costs of health care benefits was
- 8 increasing significantly enough that it was an issue in
- 9 bargaining?
- 10 A Yeah.
- 11 Q How does the increasing cost of health care
- 12 benefits affect the bargainers and what they demand
- 13 about retiree health care benefits in negotiations?
- 14 A I think it's like any other bargaining topic.
- 15 You know, when you're bargaining a labor agreement,
- there's some amount of money the employer is willing to
- 17 put towards that contract and as a union, we would like
- 18 that -- call it the pie -- we would like the pie to be
- 19 as big as possible and our goal is to make sure
- 20 we -- as a bargainer, your goal is to understand how
- 21 big that pie is and then of course to split it up
- 22 according to the wishes of the membership.

```
Page 32
                                 (Exhibit No. 34 was marked for
 1
 2
                                 identification.)
 3
               (Short recess.)
 4
               BY MR. ROGACZEWSKI:
 5
               You've been handed what's been marked as
          Q
     Exhibit 34 which is a power point presentation entitled
 6
 7
     The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act:
 8
     Education and Resources for International
 9
     Representatives. Are you familiar with this document?
10
          Α
               No.
11
               Do you recall a presentation by the Social
12
     Security department in December of 2010 about PPACA?
13
          Α
               No.
14
               As an international representative, were you
15
     provided with any resource material about PPACA?
               No.
16
          Α
17
               Who is Sara Doyle?
               She is a senior benefit consultant in the UAW
18
19
     Social Security department.
20
               She's currently with the UAW?
          Q
2.1
               Yes.
          Α
22
               On the fifth slide, which is labeled General
          Q
```

- 1 Content -- I should make clear when I use the
- 2 abbreviation PPACA, do you understand to what I'm
- 3 referring?
- 4 A I'm assuming you're referring to the pension
- 5 or the whatever you just said it was on here, Patient
- 6 Protection and Affordable Care Act.
- 7 Q Do you know what the Patient Protection and
- 8 Affordable Care Act is?
- 9 A I'm assuming it's health care reform.
- 10 Q It's sometimes referred to as Obama care?
- 11 A Yeah, health care reform. We don't use that
- 12 term but --
- 13 Q I just want to make sure we're on the same
- 14 page. On the slide that's labeled General Content, the
- 15 presentation states suggested bargaining
- 16 tips/strategies. Do you recall any suggested
- 17 bargaining tips or strategies that the UAW provided in
- 18 light of PPACA?
- 19 A Well, I know there's a lot of discussion about
- 20 companies have concerns over how PPACA is going to
- 21 affect their health care plans that they provide for
- 22 the employees. So I know there's been discussion and

Page 34 there's some suggested contract language about language 1 2 you put in, how you will deal with national health care if it affects the current plans. 3 4 What do you understand the employers' concerns 5 to be? 6 Α Well, if they start -- let's say the federal 7 government gets smart all of a sudden and starts providing health care to all its citizens like they do 8 9 in Canada. I don't think the employers would still want to be on the hook for thousands of dollars of 10 11 coverage. 12 You know, and I'll give another one that comes 13 to mind is they extended how long people -- it used to be you could only keep your kids insured until age 21 14 and they had to be in school. So that changed as a 15 16 result of Obama care. Now we get them until they're age 26. So I think employers -- you know, some 17 18 contracts had to be changed to deal with that because if the language said, you know, under SPD or health 19

care provision said something like you're only going to

be insured until 21, again, now it's illegal. So

that's contrary to what the law is, so the contract

20

21

22

Page 35 would have to be changed to address those types of 2 things. Does the UAW have preferred positions on the 3 first issue, which I'm going to call coordination for 5 lack of a better word, between any national health care plan that is devised and the existing employer provided 6 plan? Does the UAW have a preferred position on how 7 8 that coordination occurs? 9 Α Well, our preferred position is no loss, no 10 gain. 11 What do you mean by that? 12 We mean that if there's something that's changed as a result of the health care reform, it 13 14 shouldn't be a windfall for either party as a result of 15 that change. Do you have an understanding of -- in your 16 experience, how have employers negotiated this issue? 17 What issue? 18 Α 19 The issue of coordination. 20 They usually propose some type of language Α 21 that addresses national health care, some generic

version or some language that talks about it.

22

- 1 Q Do employers negotiate from a no loss, no gain
- 2 position?
- 3 A Well, you would hope that's where you end up,
- 4 yeah. I mean employers do a lot of different things,
- 5 you know. I mean they say, "We're not going to offer
- 6 prescription drugs because there's a part D." I mean
- 7 that -- God only knows what employers are going to do.
- 8 But again, I guess if you're looking at an overall
- 9 position, no loss, no gain is probably the best way to
- 10 resolve it we believe. I mean there's a lot of
- 11 unknowns.
- 12 Q Did the no loss, no gain position predate
- 13 **PPACA?**
- 14 A I don't believe so. That's my -- that's not a
- 15 position of the UAW I don't think. That's more kind of
- 16 like our concept. I mean that's the way we do it or at
- 17 least I do it when I'm in bargaining. So does it
- 18 predate PPACA? Yeah, it predated in a lot of different
- 19 areas, but health care was -- this health care reform
- 20 was the first time that there's been any significant
- 21 changes in health care law in this country that I know
- of. I don't recall any significant changes other than

```
Page 37
     that.
 1
 2
               You don't recall any specific reference
          Q
 3
     material about the Health Care Reform Act being
 4
     provided to international reps?
 5
          Α
               No. It's -- the answer is no.
                                 (Exhibit No. 35 was marked for
 6
 7
                                 identification.)
 8
          Q
               You've been handed what's been marked as
 9
     Exhibit 35 which is a -- it appears to be a draft of
10
                 It's labeled health care at the top. Are
     some sort.
11
     you familiar with this document?
               No, I'm not.
12
13
               Do you happen to recognize the handwriting on
          Q
14
     the document?
15
               No, I don't.
16
               On page six of the document, in the section
          Q
17
     titled Legacy Health Care Costs, near the bottom, the
18
     beginning of the last paragraph, the document reads,
19
     "During the coming year, the UAW will be working with
20
     other unions and older manufacturing companies in
21
     support of proposals that would provide some relief
22
     for, " quote, "legacy, " unquote, "health care costs."
```

```
Page 38
 1
               Do you have an understanding of what legacy
 2
     health care costs are?
 3
          Α
               Yes.
 4
               What is your understanding of the term legacy
 5
     health care costs?
               Retiree health care.
 6
 7
               Current retiree health care costs or future
 8
     retiree health care costs?
 9
              Could be both.
          Α
10
               Okay.
          Q
               I mean if it's future, I don't think it's
11
          Α
12
     legacy yet.
13
               Is it referring to current?
14
               Yeah, current. Well, again, it's going to be
          Α
15
     futures as current so -- I mean eventually, everybody
16
     is going to retire.
17
               Okay. In your experience, what kind of
          Q
18
     proposals does the UAW deploy to provide relief for
19
     legacy health care costs?
20
               I'm not sure there's a whole lot of proposals
     we do in that type of area. I'm not sure what they're
21
22
     referring to when they say companies in support of
```

Page 39 proposals that would provide relief. I'm not sure what 1 2 proposals they're referring to. 3 Frankly, I'm not either. I'm asking in your Q 4 In your experience, have you dealt with, experience. 5 in bargaining, legacy health care costs? Α 6 Yes. 7 And in your experience, has the union made 8 proposals that would provide relief for those costs? 9 Α Yes. 10 What kind of proposals are we talking about? We might do -- there might be some caps. 11 Α 12 not sure if you're familiar with health care caps, 13 different things, cost containment measures. there's a lot. It depends on the environment that 14 15 you're negotiating in. 16 What about the environment counsels one way or the other? 17 18 Α I'm not following that question. 19 What factors in the environment are considered 20 in determining how you attack the legacy costs? 21 The contract itself. Α 22 You said the first type of proposal you Q

- 1 mentioned was health care caps. Would those be caps on
- 2 existing retirees?
- 3 A I think it varies based on the contract.
- 4 There's no one size fits all.
- 5 Q So these proposals can impact, depending
- 6 on -- I mean every negotiation is different. I
- 7 understand that. But in some negotiations, dealing
- 8 with legacy health care costs could involve negotiating
- 9 something for current retirees?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q The same for cost containment issues?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Are there any other types of proposals that in
- 14 your experience are used to address legacy retiree
- 15 health care costs?
- 16 A Not right off the top of my head, no.
- 17 Q In your experience, are those proposals
- 18 successful?
- 19 A Again, it depends on the contract that you're
- 20 dealing with at that time. That really dictates what
- 21 you can or can't do when it comes to retiree health
- 22 care.

```
Page 41
 1
         Q
              And when you say the contract, you mean the
 2
    one that's still in place while you're negotiating the
    next one?
 3
 4
              Well, it could be past ones. It could be the
 5
    contract the people retired under. I mean again, one
    size doesn't fit all when you're talking retiree health
 6
 7
    care.
 8
        Q What other things go into the calculus besides
 9
    the contract?
        A Well, the first question that I look at is is
10
    it a vested benefit or not.
11
12
        Q What if it is?
13
        A Then I don't make changes.
14
        Q What if you conclude that it's not?
15
       A If I conclude that it's not a vested benefit,
16
    then you take a look at what the changes are, what the
    rationale is, does it make sense to do it, you know,
17
18
    all the things that you -- all the things you think
19
    about or use to determine like any other contract
    change, you know, is it in the best interest of the
20
    membership, is it in the best interest of the retirees,
21
22
    is it in the best interest of the corporation. There's
```

Page 42 a whole host of decision making that goes on. 1 2 And I'm trying to understand that Q decision-making process. 3 Α Sure. 4 5 Q Is there any -- I'll ask that a little later. 6 On the next page of this document, it says in 7 the middle of that paragraph where it says finally, it 8 says finally, and this is --Middle of the first paragraph? 9 Α 10 The paragraph that's continuing from the page 11 before. 12 Are we talking about right there, finally? "Finally, the UAW supports proposals to 13 provide retiree health legacy cost relief to companies 14 15 in exchange for commitments to invest in the domestic production of advanced technology vehicles and their 16 key components." Do you have an understanding of what 17 18 that sentence means? 19 Α No, I do not. 20 Sometimes in bargaining, if you've concluded Q 21 that it's not a vested benefit and you have to consider 22 the myriad of factors, do you consider what's best for

- 1 the retirees separately from what's best for the
- 2 members?
- 3 MR. MACEY: Let me interpose an objection just
- 4 to keep the record straight. To the extent that your
- 5 question is predicated on the assumption that the word
- 6 proposal in this document relates to bargaining
- 7 proposal, I'm going to object because I think it's
- 8 inconsistent with the language of the document. To the
- 9 extent you're just asking him about bargaining
- 10 proposals, I don't have a problem. So does your
- 11 question -- is your question predicated on the
- 12 assumption that this document is using proposals in the
- 13 sense of bargaining proposals as opposed to legislative
- 14 proposals?
- MR. ROGACZEWSKI: I don't think it presupposes
- one way or the other. At this point, I've broadened it
- 17 sort of away from the document.
- MR. MACEY: Away from the document.
- 19 MR. ROGACZEWSKI: Because he didn't know what
- 20 the sentence meant. So --
- MR. MACEY: That's fine.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. Ask me again, please.

Page 44 BY MR. ROGACZEWSKI: 1 2 In evaluating a bargaining proposal that would Q 3 impact -- that would provide relief for legacy retiree 4 health care costs, do you consider separately the 5 interests of the retirees and the interests of the 6 members or do you consider those interests at the same 7 time? And you qualified this and said it wasn't a 8 vested benefit, correct? 9 10 0 Yes. If it's not a vested benefit, I look at the 11 Α 12 entire group, what it means to the retirees, what it 13 means to the actives and also what it means to the company. There are multiple parties involved in 14 15 negotiations. 16 So in your experience, there are occasions in 17 which a bargainer trades gains in one area or for one 18 set of constituents for relief in others? I'm not sure it's one set of constituents, 19 because as I said, you would hope everybody would be a 20 retiree at some point in time. So what affects this 21 group of retirees today could be affecting you tomorrow 22

```
Page 45
     because you're a retiree tomorrow. So we look at it as
 1
 2
     a whole and yes, there's always some people, you ask
     our membership, there's always somebody that doesn't
 3
 4
     like something about a contract. We very rarely ratify
     100 percent. So I'm sure somebody doesn't agree with
 5
     something. But as a bargainer, yeah, I look at the
 6
 7
     entire package and try to make the best decision
     possible.
 8
        Q And if you're doing that, you only do that
 9
10
    when it's not a vested benefit?
        A If it's a vested benefit, your ability to make
11
    changes and stuff are limited.
12
13
        Q Do you have an understanding of how it's
14
    limited?
15
        A I don't make changes if it's a vested benefit.
16
    I just don't. I just don't do it.
              Do you have an understanding of what a health
17
          Q
18
     retirement account is?
19
              HRA, yes.
20
          Q
              What do you understand an HRA to be?
21
              Well, actually an HRA is a health
     reimbursement account, but a health retirement account,
22
```

Page 46 I'm assuming that's when somebody puts money away to 1 2 provide retiree health care. That's what I'm assuming it is. 3 4 Does the UAW oppose the use of HRAs in company 5 health care plans? If we're talking about a health retirement 6 Α 7 account to provide retiree health care, I don't think we oppose it. We don't think it's the best vehicle, 8 but if that's the only vehicle. Like the plan I come 9 10 from, we didn't have health retirement accounts, but the company paid money as a stipend towards your 11 12 retiree health care. Now, we wanted them to pay for 13 retiree health care. We just weren't successful. Now, 14 when you say do I support it, well, yeah, I support it 15 because I agreed to it. 16 I'm asking you as the UAW's designee. So I guess the answer is depending on the 17 18 situation, would the UAW support it? As I stated 19 earlier, we would like health care to not be an issue in collective bargaining. That's the UAW's position. 20

What about if the HRA is in conjunction with a

21

22

Q

high deductible health care plan?

- 1 A Now I think you're talking about a health
- 2 reimbursement account. I think those go with the high
- 3 deductible plans and again, I'm not an expert on the
- 4 acronyms, but I believe if you have a high deductible
- 5 plan, you can have employers and employees can put
- 6 money in that can be used to pay for the deductibles
- 7 and the co-pays and the co-insurances, yes.
- 8 Q And does the UAW have a position on the use of
- 9 that instrument?
- 10 A We're not in favor of them, but we don't have
- 11 a staunch written position saying we're totally opposed
- 12 to them. Again, it's every bargaining. We would
- 13 rather not do it, but with most bargaining, again if
- 14 that's what the terms and conditions dictate you have
- 15 to do, then you do it. We have contracts that have
- 16 high deductible plans in them.
- 17 Q Does it matter if the high deductible plan is
- 18 one of at least two choices for a participant?
- 19 A Again, it's not a steadfast rule. I think
- 20 that would be something you would take a look at, but
- 21 again, I'm not going to say because the international
- 22 doesn't come out with an administrative letter or

- 1 anything like that that says, "Here's the position and
- 2 if you do something different than this, you're going
- 3 to be fired." We don't work that way. But if there's
- 4 a high deductible plan and a traditional plan, I would
- 5 personally as a negotiator be opposed to it because I
- 6 believe it drives adverse selection.
- 7 Q What do you mean by it driving adverse
- 8 selection?
- 9 A I mean the people that are healthy that don't
- 10 care about the deductible being \$1500 a year will flock
- 11 to that plan. They will choose that option because it
- 12 probably has less of a premium share or maybe it's free
- and they would stay away from the plan that let's call
- 14 it a low deductible plan. And so in other words, the
- 15 sick folks go into the low deductible plan or the
- 16 people that need insurance and then the adverse
- 17 selection is the plan with all the people that need the
- 18 insurance. We'll call them sick folks. Premiums go up
- 19 exponentially. Where all the healthy folks are in
- 20 here, you get a bad risk rate.
- 21 Q In your experience, are there situations in
- 22 which you would recommend the use of a health

- 1 reimbursement account?
- 2 A I would recommend -- there are situations
- 3 where I would recommend a contract where that was a
- 4 component of it.
- 5 Q You would never recommend it as the only
- 6 option?
- 7 A I might as the only option. By a component of
- 8 it, I mean if I had to put a health reimbursement
- 9 account in, a high deductible plan in order to get
- 10 workers a raise, I might do that.
- 11 Q And the factors that you would consider -- is
- 12 the calculus that you would undertake to determine
- 13 whether or not to recommend an HRA in that situation
- 14 similar to what you've mentioned several times today,
- 15 just sort of balancing the overall -- the complicated
- 16 package that is a contract?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q I'm trying to find a simple way to say it.
- 19 A I understand. You can't look at a
- 20 negotiation -- you can't focus on any one single piece
- 21 and I guess you could, but I don't think that's good
- 22 bargaining. I believe that if you're doing your job

- 1 right, you're looking at the contract in its entirety.
- 2 That includes every component of it and you make
- 3 decisions based on that.
- A decision you make at one facility might not
- 5 be the same at another facility. Unfortunately, in
- 6 this country the way health care is, you and I can have
- 7 the exact same health care plan and you're Company A
- 8 and I'm Company B and your health care rates are a lot
- 9 more expensive than mine and we're plants on the same
- 10 street in the same city with the same exact health care
- 11 plan and based on our employer makeup and the
- 12 experience, health care could be entirely different
- 13 from one to the next. Geographic location comes into
- 14 play, a lot of different things.
- 15 Q If I use the term RRA or retiree reimbursement
- 16 accounts, do you have an understanding as to what that
- means?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Are you aware of health care arrangements in
- 20 which no plan is provided, but participants get an
- 21 account in which money is placed by the employer on a
- 22 monthly basis that can be used for eligible health care

Page 51 1 expenses? 2 Are we talking employees? 3 Retirees. 0 4 I believe in GM, Ford or Chrysler, new hires have a health care account that the corporation is 5 6 putting money in I believe it's every hour they work. Do they actually deposit it every hour? Probably not, 7 8 but you know what I'm saying. They put so much money 9 in every hour that's going to be used for health care 10 benefits once the employee retires. 11 Do you know if in those plans, money is 0 12 continued, is deposited in those accounts after they 13 retire? 14 I don't believe so. I believe it's based on 15 hours worked. 16 So you're aware of no instances in which an 17 account would be set up at the time of retirement for 18 example and money placed into it? 19 Personally, no. Α 20 With respect to the health retirement Q 21 accounts, using the Big Three example that you 22 referenced, how do you as a bargainer evaluate

- 1 proposals on how much is placed per hour in that
- 2 account?
- 3 A Well, since I personally didn't negotiate
- 4 them, I don't really know, but I can give you an
- 5 opinion based on being an international representative
- 6 of how I would do it.
- 7 Q That would be helpful.
- 8 A I would take a look at cost of the benefit,
- 9 available dollars in the contract and what my other
- 10 needs were in that set of negotiations, what other
- 11 needs do I have to try to fulfill and try to balance it
- 12 out so that as I think I've said several times, it's a
- 13 pie and you cut it up. So I can't put all the money
- 14 into this one pot and say, "Nobody that's working is
- 15 going to get -- you're not going to get a raise.
- 16 Sorry." So you have to take a look at the entire
- 17 negotiations.
- 18 Q Would you agree with an assertion that as the
- amount of money that's placed in the health retirement
- 20 account goes up, your comfort as a bargainer goes up
- 21 considering that there's no plan for those individuals?
- 22 A My comfort as a bargainer as it relates to

- 1 what?
- 2 Q I'm not trying to mischaracterize your
- 3 testimony, but I think you said earlier that you would
- 4 prefer if the company paid for a plan rather than put
- 5 money in an account for you to use for health care
- 6 benefits. Am I stating that fairly?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. What I'm suggesting is that as the
- 9 amount of money that's placed in that account is
- 10 increased, the concerns you have about not having a
- 11 plan are mitigated?
- 12 A I think that's probably a pretty accurate
- 13 statement, but on the same token, I think if you put
- 14 too much money in, you know, going along with your
- 15 train of thought -- you're saying the more money you
- 16 put in, the more comfortable I am. Well, there's some
- 17 point where if I'm putting money in this account and
- 18 it's like a pig and a poke to where, you know what I
- 19 mean, they're not going to need this much money to
- 20 provide a benefit, then yeah, then actually that's a
- 21 disservice. I'm spending money somewhere that I don't
- 22 need to I guess.

Page 54 Again, one size doesn't fit all. There's a lot of pieces of criteria you have to look at. 2 3 I understand. How do you -- if someone were Q 4 to ask you in a bargaining situation at what point are 5 you putting too much into the health retirement 6 account, I mean how would you evaluate it? I 7 understand you can't give me a number, but how would 8 you evaluate what that number is? One would be the age at which an employee can 9 retire would come into play. That might be the biggest 10 factor. 11 12 And why would that be a factor? Q 13 Because as it relates to Medicare. 14 Q The younger the age of the retiree, the higher 15 that number is that you would want, the higher the 16 useful number in the health retirement account? Unfortunately, when there's no plan, you don't 17 18 know what number you're really talking about. We'll go 19 back to the example of the HRA or the health reimbursement account, the high deductible plan. 20 If an 21 employee was healthy and their spouse had good 22 insurance, I don't think you would care. If everybody

- 1 in your unit had spouses that worked somewhere else
- 2 that had good health care, you wouldn't care if that
- 3 number you were putting in was small. I mean it
- 4 wouldn't really matter because they're going to get
- 5 their health care from their wife.
- 6 Somebody that's healthy, they might be happy
- 7 with a high deductible plan. Somebody that's got a lot
- 8 of kids and still got, you know, children insured and
- 9 has health care problems, they would probably want that
- 10 health reimbursement account to, you know, every nickel
- 11 you can possibly put in there put in there. They might
- 12 be willing to do away with wage increases. They might
- 13 be willing to do away with less holidays to have more
- 14 money in that account. So I think there's a lot of
- 15 variables you have to take a look at. In general, the
- 16 more money you have in an account of course is going to
- 17 be better.
- 18 Q Until you reach a point where it's less
- 19 **likely --**
- 20 A Where it's overkill, then you're talking about
- 21 just a savings account. Then you're kind of like
- throwing money in and might as well put it in a 401K.

```
Page 56
     And again I guess the question would be where is that
 1
 2
     money stored at? Is it stored in a 401K vehicle?
 3
     that where the money is being put at? Then I guess it
     doesn't really matter how much you put in there.
 4
 5
               Now, if it's specifically earmarked to
     reimburse for health care expenses, then you have to
 6
 7
     take that into consideration. It's not quite that
 8
     simple.
 9
          Q
               I want to turn to Part D and prescription
10
     drugs.
               Okay.
11
          Α
12
          Q
               As --
               Drugs, great topic.
13
          Α
14
               Is there -- does the UAW have any guiding
          Q
15
     principles regarding the out-of-pocket costs to
     retirees for prescription drugs?
16
17
          Α
               No.
18
               So there's no ratio that bargainers look for?
19
     I mean for example, wanting to ensure that the costs
20
     don't exceed 10 percent of the pension amount or
21
     something like that?
22
          Α
               No.
```

- 1 Q Every negotiation has its own set of factors
- 2 and criteria?
- 3 A Yeah. There was a time in our organization
- 4 where we used to do what we would call recommend health
- 5 care plans. The UAW would say this is an approved plan
- 6 years ago. Those days are no longer.
- 7 Q Does the UAW have a position on the use of
- 8 formularies?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q I'm sure they're not preferred, but you don't
- 11 have them?
- 12 A I guess -- you know, you keep saying they're
- 13 not preferred. I'm not sure that that's the best way
- 14 to put it. What is preferred is that health care is no
- 15 longer an issue for the American public in the UAW's
- 16 position. That's what's preferred. Now, if we have to
- 17 have a formulary to keep a plan open and put a
- 18 formulary in for the active employees, even though we
- 19 don't like it, that happens to be our preference in
- 20 that set of negotiations. So when you say preferred,
- 21 it's hard to say that is -- you know, if we preferred,
- 22 it's everybody in America has health care and that's

Page 58 the way it is. If we could prefer anything, it would 1 2 be that. 3 Does your answer change if it's retirees in 4 formularies? 5 Again, I think it depends on how the contract Α 6 is, you know, the components of the agreement. 7 If you conclude that a prescription drug 8 benefit is vested, how do you as a negotiator deal with advances in the prescription drug marketplace? 9 Well, I think everyone has to have to take a 10 look -- you know, when you say it's a vested benefit, I 11 12 have to look at what's vested about it. I mean depending on what the issue is, if it's a vested 13 14 benefit that has a list of drugs spelled out in the CBA and that's what's vested and you've got drugs that are 15 available that aren't on that list, I quess you would 16 17 have to take that into consideration, but again, with a vested benefit, I usually don't try to change anything. 18 19 Up or down? Q 20 If we can improve the benefit, I'm not going 21 to say I wouldn't do it, but I don't see that happening

22

very often.

Page 59 The answer you gave about formularies, will it 1 Q 2 be the same for dispensed as written provisions? 3 I think so. Α 4 That sometimes you don't reject them out of 5 hand, but --6 I don't reject anything out of hand. Α I'm an 7 open-minded person and I listen to it and a lot of times retiree health care has an effect on active 8 9 employees. 10 How so? 0 Well, just cost. It's cost to the 11 Α 12 corporation. So I just don't ignore anything. at things with an open mind and I look at it as an 13 entire picture and weigh the different criteria that's 14 15 in play in that set of negotiations and make decisions based on that. 16 It's all part of the pie? 17 18 I'm not so sure that the vested benefit is 19 part of the pie, so I don't want you to characterize 20 that --21 I actually wasn't trying to. Q I don't think that's the case. But I think 22 Α

- 1 every set of negotiations is unique in some manner.
- 2 Not everything is exactly the same. I mean you could
- 3 say that every contract has seniority provisions, every
- 4 contract has, you know, recall rights, every contract
- 5 has holidays, every contract has health care benefits,
- 6 but the magnitude of them or the extent of them are
- 7 different in every set of negotiations. The
- 8 well-being, the financial stability of the company is
- 9 different in every set of negotiations. I mean there
- 10 are just so many variables.
- 11 Q Is there anything in the prescription drug
- 12 space that you would never recommend as it pertains to
- 13 retiree health care benefits?
- 14 A I quess I would never recommend eliminating
- 15 them. I mean again, unless you say, "Here's the
- 16 contract, Rick. Take look at it," then I would have
- 17 to -- I could recommend a lot of stuff in retiree
- 18 health care based on what the agreement says. If it's
- 19 a vested benefit and it's let the retiree head where
- 20 they're going and somebody is proposing a reduction in
- 21 that benefit, no, I would never recommend that. I
- 22 wouldn't even address it.

```
Page 61
                                 (Exhibit No. 36 was marked for
 1
 2
                                 identification.)
 3
          Q
               You have what's been marked as Exhibit 36
 4
     which is a January 2006 I'm going to call it a report.
 5
     It's labeled UAW International Executive Board Social
     Security Department. That's on the cover page at
 6
 7
     least.
             Are you familiar with this document?
               No, I'm not.
 8
 9
          Q
               From time to time, does the international
10
     executive board and the Social Security department put
11
     out white papers? Have you seen documents similar to
12
     this in your experience as an international
13
     representative?
               I've seen documents similar to this because
14
15
     what it looks like to me is a document that was
     prepared by the Social Security department for the
16
     international union executive board, that they gave a
17
18
     report to the executive board and this is it.
19
          Q
               Have you seen other reports like that?
               Unfortunately, I've written a few.
20
21
          Q
               This document appears to match the format
22
     of --
```

Page 62 Yeah, because the ones I write would say UAW 1 International Executive Board, Competitive Shop IPS 2 Department. 3 4 Now, on the second page of the report, it has 5 a heading on it, Employers Attempting to Use Part D As 6 a Lever to Change Retiree Health Care Coverage, and I 7 apologize if I've already asked this, but does the UAW have a position on coordination between Part D benefits 8 and employer-provided prescription drug plans? 9 If the employer provides retirees with a 10 prescription drug benefit, that's what we, and it's a 11 12 vested benefit, that's what we expect them to continue to provide. 13 14 Q What if it's not a vested benefit? If it's not a vested benefit, then I guess the 15 16 door is open on what you're going to do. 17 Have you seen -- in your experience, are Q 18 employers coordinating their prescription drug plan 19 with Part D? In my experience, they're not coordinating 20 them -- let me back up. I believe a lot of them are 21 just they're credible plans, so they're applying for

22

Page 63 their federal reimbursement because they're provided a 2 credible benefit. Credible? 3 Q Yeah, I think that's how they determine if 4 5 it's really -- you really need to ask somebody that knows more about this than I do, but there's level of 6 7 prescription drug benefits that are provided. If it's similar to what the Medicare provides, then it's 8 9 considered credible which means the federal government 10 will help offset that cost to the employer. So to make sure I understand the word you're 11 Q 12 using, is it creditable or credible? 13 Α Credible. 14 Q C-R-E-D-I-B-L-E? 15 I believe that's the actual term. And it 16 could be creditable, so don't hold me to it. Like I said, I'm not an expert, but I know it has to do with 17 18 the level of benefits as it relates to the Part D 19 benefit the federal government pays for. 20 Q The report at the bottom says that, "In short, 21 an employer cannot terminate or modify the rights of a 22 retiree/dependent who signs up for Medicare Part D,"

Page 64 and with emphasis, "unless the right to do so is 1 2 contained in our negotiated health plan." Do you have 3 an understanding as to what that sentence means? 4 I'm assuming that it means that just because 5 somebody gets -- signs up for a Medicare Part D benefit 6 and they don't understand what they're signing up for 7 doesn't mean the employer can turn around and say, "Oh, look, Rick Isaacson signed up for this Part D, so he 8 9 must believe we don't have to provide him prescription drugs no longer." I assume that's what it means. 10 Do you have an understanding as to how express 11 Q the health plan must be on this point? 12 13 Α No. 14 Q Is it fair to say that if the benefit is not 15 vested, an employer could modify prescription drug 16 rights in the face of Part D if it's not vested? If it's not vested, I believe yes. 17 18 Would it be sufficient if the existing plan Q 19 requires coordination between itself and Part B? I'm sorry? Come again with that. 20 Α 21 If the existing plan required coordination Q

between the plan and Medicare Part B --

22

```
Page 65
               The existing plan?
 1
          Α
 2
               The existing health care plan.
          Q
               The existing retiree health care plan. Okay.
 3
          Α
 4
               If the existing retiree health care plan
 5
     required coordination with Medicare Part B, would that
 6
     allow the employer to require coordination with Part D?
 7
               MR. MACEY: Objection; calls for a legal
 8
     conclusion.
 9
               You can answer.
               THE WITNESS: I don't believe it would because
10
     one is not the same as the other.
11
12
               BY MR. ROGACZEWSKI:
13
               Would it matter to you when the Medicare Part
14
     B coordination language was agreed to?
15
               I don't think so.
          Α
16
               So if it predated Part D, that wouldn't impact
17
     it from your perspective?
18
          Α
               I don't believe so. I think if it was going
     to impact it, you would be smart to write language that
19
20
     said so.
21
               Is that a general principal you try to adhere
          Q
22
     to?
```

```
Page 66
               I try to leave as much -- try to clear up as
 1
 2
     many loose ends as possible, yes. I think that's good
 3
     bargaining.
 4
                                 (Exhibit No. 37 was marked for
 5
                                identification.)
 6
          Q
               Before we go to the next document, do you
 7
     recall if any of the reports you prepared for the
 8
     international executive board dealt with retiree health
 9
     care benefits?
10
               No. No, thank God.
          Α
11
               You've been handed Exhibit 37 which is a March
12
     16th, 2004 report titled Retired Workers Statewide
13
     Coordinating Committee Overview of Medicare
14
     Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of
15
     2003. Are you familiar with this document?
               No, I'm not.
16
          Α
17
               Do you know -- do you know what the Retired
18
     Workers Statewide Coordinating Committee is?
19
               No, actually.
          Α
               Have you seen other documents in your
20
     experience with the UAW that have this format? And by
21
     format, I mean has a large page number in the upper
22
```

- 1 right-hand corner and in fact it doesn't really go
- 2 consecutively. You see, it goes one, two, three and
- 3 then four appears to be three pages long and then five,
- 4 six, seven. Is that a format with which you're
- 5 familiar?
- 6 A No, I'm not.
- 7 Q I'll ask you to turn to what I'm going to call
- 8 page 4C, the third page of document four.
- 9 A Okay. I'm there.
- 10 Q Okay. It says at the last point in this
- document, this sub document, it says, "Subsidies
- designed to slow the erosion of employer-provided
- 13 retiree health care coverage," and it's referring to
- 14 subsidies that are provided. I think this relates to
- 15 what you were talking about, the credit, the subsidies
- 16 for credible prescription drug plans.
- 17 A I believe so.
- 18 Q "Subsidies designed to slow the erosion of
- 19 employer-provided retiree health coverage," bullet one,
- 20 "Some employers will still drop or reduce coverage,"
- 21 and bullet two, "Some are more likely to," quote, "stay
- in the game." In your experience, are there any

- 1 characteristics that are shared by employers that
- 2 despite being provided subsidies under Part D, try to
- 3 drop or reduce coverage for prescription drugs?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Are there any characteristics shared by those
- 6 that are staying in the game?
- 7 A Give me the question again as it relates to
- 8 this.
- 9 Q Sure. In your experience, are there any
- 10 characteristics that are shared by employers that to
- 11 use the language of this document are staying in the
- 12 game of prescription drug benefits despite getting a
- 13 subsidy?
- 14 A I don't think so.
- 15 Q In your experience, are you observing some
- 16 employers staying in the game of providing prescription
- drug benefits and some employers reducing prescription
- drug benefits even though they're getting a subsidy?
- 19 A I've got to believe there are some out there,
- 20 yeah.
- 21 Q Do you have a sense of which group has more
- 22 employers in it?

```
Page 69
               No. No, I don't.
 1
 2
                                 (Exhibit No. 38 was marked for
 3
                                 identification.)
 4
               You've been handed what's marked as Exhibit 38
          Q
 5
     which is a -- it appears to be a report provided to the
 6
     international executive board by the Social Security
 7
     department dated February of 2005 that provides updates
 8
     on, one, retiree health care coverage and, two, Bush
 9
     administration pension reform proposals. Are you
10
     familiar with this document?
               No, I'm not.
11
          Α
12
               Does this appear to be similar in format,
          Q
13
     though, to other reports to the international executive
14
     board that you created?
15
               Yes.
16
               The first page of this report references in
          Q
17
     the second paragraph a Kaiser-Hewitt survey that was
18
     released in December 2004. Do you know the survey of
19
     which the report is speaking?
20
               No, I do not.
21
               In your role as an international
     representative, have you consulted any surveys of
22
```

Page 70 health care plans? 1 2 I guess I'm not quite understanding your 3 question. 4 That's fair. In your role as an international 5 representative, have you consulted data regarding 6 health care plan terms such as deductibles, premiums, 7 etcetera? 8 Α Yes. 9 Do you know the sources of that data? Let me 10 ask it a different away. 11 In what format was the data that you reviewed? 12 I've reviewed data that's been provided by 13 employers that have to do with health care costs. I've reviewed data that's provided by actuaries that have to 14 15 do with health care costs as well as some outside firms. 16 The data that you have reviewed, does it 17 18 relate specifically to the employer with which you're 19 negotiating at the time? 20 If the employer provided it, yes. Α 21 What if the actuary provided it? Q It might not necessarily be from any specific 22 Α

Page 71 employer. 1 2 Do the data sets include non-UAW represented 3 employers? 4 I'm assuming some of it had to. You know, if you read a report or something, it might have some 5 non-UAW folk in there. I don't know. 6 7 Do the data sets include non-represented Q 8 employees? 9 Yeah, assuming they're not represented because if they're not UAW, I know we aren't representing them. 10 11 Let me ask that a different way. Do 0 12 the -- does the data include participants that are 13 non-union represented? I believe so. I mean I looked at some of that 14 15 data that I saw back during the GM financial crisis, I 16 looked at some data that had to do with Nissan and different companies like that, Toyota. 17 18 Q So it's not uncommon when engaged in 19 bargaining to review data about other health care 20 plans? 21 I wouldn't say it's uncommon, no. You always look at the landscape. I think you're doing your due 22

- 1 diligence.
- Q What are you looking for? What's the purpose
- 3 of doing that due diligence?
- 4 A One of the things -- one of the
- 5 responsibilities of my job is negotiating with several
- 6 different companies that do similar functions. I'll
- 7 give you an example. The seating industry, we bargain
- 8 with four or five different large corporations that all
- 9 assemble seats or OEM, original equipment
- 10 manufacturers. So I will look to see when I'm
- 11 negotiating with the Johnson Controls, I might look and
- 12 see what Lear Corporation is doing in their contracts
- 13 and it's not just health care. It's wages, you know,
- 14 all the different components because health care,
- 15 again, the pie thing. So as it relates to that, yeah,
- 16 I would look at different companies.
- 17 Q Do you have in your experience, is some data
- 18 more valuable as a comparative tool than others?
- 19 A I think so. I guess who collected the data,
- 20 where it was collected from, how it was collected, you
- 21 know.
- 22 Q You said when you're negotiating with seating

- 1 manufacturers, you're looking at data from companies
- 2 that do similar things. Is that a consideration that
- 3 goes into whether the data is a better comparative over
- 4 other data sets?
- 5 A I don't believe so. I think it has more to do
- 6 with where the data came from.
- 7 Q On page two of this report at the bottom,
- 8 there's a section entitled Medicare RX Coverage, the
- 9 first bullet point under which it says that 58 percent
- 10 of surveyed employers reported that they would continue
- 11 offering RX benefits and accept the subsidy. To use
- 12 the language of the previous exhibit, they're staying
- 13 in the game. Is that statistic consistent with your
- 14 observations as an international representative?
- 15 A I don't know.
- 16 Q The parenthetical says that 85 percent of that
- group plan to retain current RX benefits, which -- is
- 18 that consistent with your observations as an
- 19 international rep?
- 20 A I have no reason to say it isn't.
- 21 Q I'm just asking.
- 22 A I'm just saying I can't say it is -- you know,

```
Page 74
     I have no reason to say it isn't, so I guess I'm going
     to say it is. I just don't know.
 2
               The first bullet on the last page says that,
 3
     "17 percent of surveyed employers reported that they
 5
     would offer RX coverage as a supplement to the Medicare
 6
     RX plan (Medicare would be the primary payer.)"
     that the same as coordinating with Part D?
 7
 8
               Since I didn't write the document, it's hard
          Α
 9
     for me to say, but based on my reading, yes.
10
               In terms of premium sharing for retirees, is
11
     there a level at which you would not recommend an
12
     agreement? That is to say can the premium go
13
     sufficiently high that you would not recommend agreeing
     to it as it pertains to retirees?
14
15
               No, I don't believe there's a magic number.
16
               Are there things that you -- in thinking
     specifically about the premium term for retirees, are
17
18
     there factors that you evaluate in assessing whether or
19
     not it is a reasonable premium or not?
20
          Α
               I believe there is.
21
               What are those factors?
               I believe some of those factors, again, would
22
          Α
```

Page 75 have to do with whether it's a vested benefit or not. 2 So let's say that it isn't and then you take a look at what the cost of the insurance is, what the retiree's 3 4 income is, what the pension benefit is, is there a pension benefit which I'm assuming there is if you're 5 talking health care. 6 7 Is there a ratio on the income side? No, we don't use a ratio. Nobody has ever 8 said, "Here's the ratio, Rick." Again, it's ability to 9 10 pay. Again, if yours and mine were the exact same company, but you were making billions of dollars and 11 12 mine wasn't, your ability to pay would be a little 13 better than my ability. So if I was bargaining against both of us, I would expect you to pay more than my 14 15 company. In your experience, are you seeing built-in 16 17 escalator clauses for premiums in agreements? 18 Α For active employees, yes. 19 What about for retirees? Q 20 Α No, not really. 21 What about for future retirees? Q

In some cases, I'm sure they're out there, but

22

Α

Page 76 I haven't personally seen them, no. 1 2 There's no UAW prohibition on that for future Q retirees? 3 4 Α No. 5 Q Going back to Exhibit 38 --6 Α How far back are we going? That's the last 7 one? 8 Q Yes. 9 Α Not too far. 10 There are a series of bullet points on the 11 first page that reflect average retiree contributions 12 both pre-Medicare and Medicare eligible. The report 13 says that for new pre-65 retirees, the average retiree 14 contribution is \$202 per month and notes it's excluding 15 employers that require no contributions. For new 65 16 and over retirees, the average retiree contribution is 17 \$113 per month, again noting that it's excluding 18 employers that require no contribution. How do those 19 average figures as reported in this document compare 20 with monthly retiree contributions that you're seeing 21 in your experience as an international representative? 22 I don't think they have any comparison.

- 1 Q Why is there no comparison?
- 2 A Well, I guess the reason there's no comparison
- 3 is because I'm not sure where these numbers -- I see
- 4 numbers all over the board, so some are higher, some
- 5 are lower and again, it depends on the negotiations
- 6 that you're in. So that's why I'm saying there's no
- 7 comparison. I'm assuming these numbers were made by
- 8 Kaiser-Hewitt's survey without me reading this whole
- 9 thing.
- 10 Q That's my assumption as well.
- 11 A So their assumption based on across the
- 12 country, to me, that's irrelevant in bargaining what
- 13 the rest of the country is doing. What's relevant is
- 14 the negotiations that I'm in today, not what the
- 15 national average is.
- 16 Q But if I am correct in interpreting what you
- said, you see some monthly contributions lower than
- 18 that, you see some higher than that?
- 19 A Yeah. I would suspect it's a little bit here
- 20 and a little bit -- again based on the ability to pay.
- 21 Q Does the UAW have any guidance on the level of
- 22 out-of-pocket costs, not counting premiums, that a

```
Page 78
     retiree should have under a health care plan?
 1
 2
               No. By guidance, as low as possible I guess
     would be our guidance.
 3
 4
               Is there a maximum --
          Q
 5
          Α
               No.
 6
               -- a maximum deductible for example?
          Q
 7
               No. Again, it comes back to the negotiations.
     I think I've already testified that we're not in big
 8
 9
     favor of high deductible plans, but we have them.
10
               Do you understand what the term stop loss
          Q
11
     means?
12
               Oh, absolutely.
          Α
13
               What do you understand the term stop loss to
          Q
14
     be?
15
               Stop loss refers to a company that's self
16
     insured is my understanding.
               Okay. Do you have an understanding as to how
17
          Q
18
     it pertains to the terms of a particular health care
19
     plan?
               I believe it pertains to the health care plan.
20
21
     I think insurance companies will probably let you write
     it just about any way you want, but again, I'm not
22
```

- 1 intimately involved with that. My understanding is you
- 2 have individual stop loss and group stop loss.
- 3 Q If I used the term stop loss and out of pocket
- 4 maximum interchangeably --
- 5 A I don't believe those are interchangeable
- 6 statements.
- 7 Q Okay. Well, how would an individual stop loss
- 8 operate in practice?
- 9 A My understanding of stop loss is let's say
- 10 that you're a company and you have a self insured plan.
- 11 So in order to keep you from going bankrupt because
- 12 everybody had a heart attack, you pay for some type of
- 13 stop loss insurance. Another term I use commonly is
- 14 known as reinsurance. So in other words, you say that
- we're going to pay all claims up to five million
- 16 dollars. If our health care claims annually exceed
- 17 five million dollars, the stop loss insurance kicks in.
- Now, you pay a premium for stop loss
- insurance, so you as an employer, you balance out how
- 20 much does my premium cost for the level of stop loss.
- 21 So in other words, do I think I'm going to cross five
- 22 million? Probably not. So maybe I want four million

- 1 in stop loss.
- 2 Then the next set of that criteria is the
- 3 individual stop loss which means we're all insured
- 4 under the same plan. Any individual that exceeds
- 5 \$250,000 in claims in a year, the stop loss would kick
- 6 in on that individual policy even though the five
- 7 million hasn't been met by everybody.
- 8 Q If I use the term out of pocket maximum, what
- 9 do you understand that to be?
- 10 A I understand that to be the amount of money a
- 11 covered individual is going to pay out of their pocket,
- 12 the maximum amount in any given year.
- 13 Q After which what happens?
- 14 A Usually in stop loss, then it becomes a 100
- 15 percent paid benefit by the employer. And again, when
- 16 I say 100 percent paid benefit, that really depends on
- 17 how the plan is written and what is included in that
- 18 maximum out of pocket.
- 19 Q Is there a -- how does a bargainer evaluate
- what an appropriate out-of-pocket maximum would be?
- 21 A I guess what plan is currently in effect and
- 22 what the ability to negotiate is. I guess that's

Page 81 probably the simplest. 1 2 Same thing for deductibles? Α I believe so. I mean utopia is first dollar 3 4 coverage. 5 Are you seeing a lot of first dollar coverage Q 6 being agreed to recently? 7 Not necessarily. 8 Speaking about deductibles and out-of-pocket Q 9 maximums, does it matter to your calculus whether it's 10 an in-network or out-of-network benefit? I think there's usually a difference. 11 I mean 12 usually, there's an out-of-pocket maximum for a network and an out-of-pocket maximum for out of network. 13 14 That's usually how the plans are written these days. 15 I agree. Are the considerations for 0 16 evaluating what is a reasonable amount for either --17 for deductibles and out-of-pocket maximum, do the 18 criteria change whether it's an in-network or 19 out-of-network benefit? 20 I don't think so, but I think the only reason 21 the criteria would change is because of the fact that 22 you're trying to drive people to use in-network

Page 82 So your out-of-pocket maximum for out of 1 2 network would probably be significantly higher. 3 In your experience, are there negotiations in Q 4 which retirees, the cost of health care benefits to the 5 retirees increases, but they're also getting a somewhat better benefit? 6 7 Is that going on? Α 8 Q That's my question. I would assume it is. 9 Α 10 Have you experienced that? Q I haven't negotiated that, no. 11 Α If that is what happened in a negotiation, how 12 Q 13 do you evaluate whether to approve that bargain or not? Well, again, when we're talking about 14 15 retirees, the first thing that comes back to is whether 16 it's a vested benefit or not. Secondly, if it's not a vested benefit and if there is an ability to do that or 17 18 you're talking about maybe doing something different for futures, again, you evaluate the entire package. 19 20 Q The entire package for the retirees or the 21 entire package for everyone? Well, if you're talking about if it's not a 22 Α

- 1 vested benefit, it would be the entire package for
- 2 everybody. And again, it all depends on the contract
- 3 language that's in play and that particular agreement.
- 4 You have to keep coming back to that pesky little
- 5 contract. They're not all the same.
- 6 Q So in the example of benefits improving with
- 7 some additional costs to the retirees, can you
- 8 determine whether for retirees it's an overall
- 9 improvement or reduction?
- 10 A I think you can give it your -- I think you
- 11 can come up with what your opinion is. Again,
- depending on who the retiree is and what the retiree's
- 13 condition, you know, what their particular situation is
- 14 is whether it's an improvement or not.
- 15 Q Each individual retiree?
- 16 A Yeah. I'll use an example of a doctor's
- 17 office call. If you increase -- we'll just for the
- 18 sake of argument, I don't care if they're retired or
- 19 active, but you're making a change and the benefit is
- 20 you're going to put in an office call component, but
- 21 you're going to charge people three more dollars a
- 22 month on their premium share for the office call

Page 84 component. Most people would say that's an improved 1 benefit. But if I never go to the doctor, it's not an 2 3 improved benefit for me. So you tell me. Is it an improved benefit or not? 4 5 I'd asked you how to interpret --I would say it is because again, we're 6 Α 7 negotiating based on the entirety. 8 So is it in the judgment of the bargainer? Q Well, eventually, it's in the judgment of the 9 10 membership. They're ratifying the contract if that's what the case is. I guess it ultimately is their 11 12 decision if it's an improved benefit or not. But in my opinion, it would be in that example. 13 14 But they're voting on the entire contract? 15 Α Yes. 16 In your experience, do negotiations result in active members making economic sacrifices for the 17 benefit of retired members? 18 19 Α In some cases, I'm sure they do. 20 Have you experienced that as a bargainer? Q 21 Yes. Α 22 In your experience, what kind of economic Q

1 sacrifices do actives make?

- 2 A I'm sure maybe you don't get as big a raise as
- 3 you might. Maybe you don't -- you know, again, it's a
- 4 pie, so if you're shifting part of the pie to the
- 5 retiree side or to provide a benefit for retirees, then
- 6 you could say that the actives aren't getting as much
- 7 as they could have if you wouldn't have. What that is
- 8 I'm not sure. Is it an extra holiday? Is it an extra
- 9 quarter an hour? I guess it's where you spend the
- 10 money, but yes, there's some amount of money being
- 11 spent on one thing versus another.
- 12 Q As a bargainer, how do you evaluate whether
- 13 active members should make that type of sacrifice for
- 14 retirees?
- 15 A You look at the landscape. You look at what
- 16 the situation is with the current active employees.
- 17 You look at what it takes to get a ratification vote.
- 18 There's a lot of different factors and they're not
- 19 always the same in each facility.
- 20 Q I understand. I'm just trying to get a sense
- 21 **of** --
- 22 A If it's something that you have to ratify,

- 1 that has a lot to do with decision making. I've done a
- 2 lot of things that I personally would not do. I've
- 3 accepted some lump sums before and turned down general
- 4 wage increases because it was easier to get the lump
- 5 sums ratified. Is it right? Probably not. In the
- 6 long run, a GWI is probably better than lump sum,
- 7 general wage increase, but it really doesn't matter if
- 8 I don't ratify the contract, does it?
- 9 Q And in that example, the lump sums are going
- 10 to existing retirees?
- 11 A No, the net example might be they would be
- 12 going to active employees.
- 13 **Q** Okay.
- 14 A But logic isn't always the only factor when it
- 15 comes to negotiating a contract.
- 16 Q Does the fact that the retirees are retirees
- and not part of the bargaining unit matter?
- 18 A I guess it has to do with what the current
- 19 agreement is. If it's a vested benefit, then I don't
- 20 think it matters at all. If it's not a vested benefit,
- 21 I think you have to take it into consideration.
- 22 They're members even though they're retired.

- 1 Q As a bargainer, do you place their interests
- 2 on equal footing with actives?
- 3 A I don't believe so. Again, it depends on the
- 4 contract and I guess it would depend on the size of
- 5 the -- retirees always like to be, my experience, a
- 6 little bit above the actives because they've already
- 7 put their time in. The actives haven't quite got
- 8 there. But that's not always necessarily the case
- 9 because again, retirees don't vote on contract
- 10 ratification.
- 11 Q But there are instances in which actives
- 12 ratify agreements that sacrifice active economic
- benefits for retired members' benefits?
- 14 A Yeah. In most of those case, it's because
- 15 they're going to be a retiree at some point in time.
- 16 So it might be in their best interest possibly.
- 17 Q Now, the negotiation of retiree health care
- 18 benefits, what impact does the presence of a voluntary
- 19 employee benefit association or VEBA, have on the way
- 20 you bargain retiree health care benefits?
- 21 A Well, if there's a VEBA, you might not be
- 22 bargaining at all about retiree health care benefits.

Page 88 Why not? 1 Q If there's a VEBA in play, that might be the 2 vehicle that the retiree benefits are provided from. 3 4 Well, does it matter who runs the VEBA? 5 Yeah, I think it would matter who would run the VEBA, but again, the VEBAs I'm familiar with, they 6 7 are stand-alone VEBAs and they're run by a group of trustees. They're not run by the UAW. So --8 Does the UAW sponsor any VEBAs? 9 Q I'm not sure what you mean by sponsor. 10 don't believe we do. I believe all the VEBAs we're 11 12 associated with are run by a group of trustees. 13 of the trustees are appointed by the UAW. 14 Q Are you familiar with the VEBA's form for the 15 Big Three auto makers? 16 I'm familiar with them. I'm not an expert on 17 those. 18 Do any employers in the IPS group have VEBAs Q 19 associated with their health plans? 20 Α Yeah. 21 And in those instances because there's a VEBA, Q

you're no longer negotiating with the employer about

22

Page 89 the health care benefits? 1 2 Not for the retirees, no. 3 Do you have any experience in working with Q 4 **VEBA** trusts? 5 I sit on several of them. 6 Do those VEBA trusts pay for retiree health Q 7 care benefits? 8 Α The ones I'm associated with, yes. Does the VEBA trust -- do the VEBA trusts, the 9 Q boards of which you sit on, have fixed assets? 10 Some of them do. Some of them don't. 11 Α 12 The ones that have fixed assets, for the ones Q 13 that have fixed assets, are there ongoing negotiations 14 with the employer about current retiree health care 15 benefits? 16 Α No. 17 The VEBA trusts with fixed assets, do you Q 18 take -- does the trust take steps to maximize its 19 ability to pay for the benefits? I don't understand your question. 20 21 Is there anything that the fixed asset VEBA Q 22 trust can do to make its assets last longer?

```
Page 90
               Yes.
 1
 2
               What sort of things in your experience --
          Q
               Increase retiree contributions, change plan
 3
          Α
 4
     design.
 5
               But that's not done in the context of
          Q
 6
     negotiations with the employer?
 7
          Α
               No.
 8
          0
               Do the trusts make an assessment of whether or
     not the benefits are vested?
 9
               MR. MACEY: Let me interpose an objection.
10
     Josh, we're here on question nine or topic nine, which
11
12
     is the level of post-retirement health benefits
     demanded currently by the UAW in collective bargaining
13
     negotiations. I think you're headed out of bounds on
14
15
     that question. Do you envision -- is there something
16
     we ought to go off the record and discuss in terms of
17
     where you're headed or is this just an incidental
18
     question or two?
19
               MR. ROGACZEWSKI: It's an incidental question
     or two. I mean we can go off the record. I'm happy to
20
21
     discuss it.
22
               MR. MACEY: Let's do that.
```

```
Page 91
               (Discussion off the record.)
 1
 2
                                 (Exhibit No. 39 was marked for
 3
                                 identification.)
 4
               BY MR. ROGACZEWSKI:
 5
               You have in front of you what's been marked as
          Q
 6
     Exhibit 39, which is a two-page document that's titled
 7
     GM Benchmarked to Toyota. Are you familiar with this
 8
     document?
 9
               No, I'm not.
10
               You've seen in your work as an international
11
     representative, you've seen comparisons between
12
     different health care plans though, right?
13
          Α
               Yes.
14
               Are you familiar with this either abbreviation
15
     or acronym T-R-A-D on the first page?
               I'm assuming it's GM traditional.
16
          Α
17
               You don't know that?
18
              No, I don't know for sure.
19
          Q
               What about the POS next to Toyota, is that an
20
     abbreviation you've seen before?
               I'm assuming it's Toyota point of the service.
21
22
               What does point of service mean in that
          Q
```

```
Page 92
     context?
 1
 2
          Α
               The health care plan.
 3
               If you know, are both of these plans UAW
 4
     negotiated?
 5
          Α
               I don't believe so.
 6
          Q
               Which one do you believe is not UAW
 7
     negotiated?
          Α
 8
               I'm assuming Toyota.
 9
               Does the UAW represent any locals of Toyota
          Q
10
     workers?
               Not anymore. Again, I'm assuming.
11
          Α
12
               I understand.
          Q
13
               Well, the reason I say that is because we used
     to represent the workers at NUMMI.
14
15
                                 (Exhibit No. 40 was marked for
                                 identification.)
16
17
               As an international representative, have you
18
     ever compared a UAW negotiated plan with a non-UAW
19
     negotiated plan?
20
               I personally have not.
21
               Is that a comparison that -- would a
22
     comparison like that have any value to you as a
```

Page 93 negotiator? 1 2 If I was -- going back to a like industry argument, if I was looking at like industries to see 3 4 what the cost structure was at a non-union plant that was doing the same product line as a union plant, I 5 think there would be some value. 6 7 You have what's been marked as Exhibit 40 8 which is a six-page document that appears to compare 9 post-retirement benefits, life and health, of Honda, 10 Ford and GM. Are you familiar with this document? 11 No, I'm not. Α 12 If you know, are the three plans compared in Q 13 this document UAW-negotiated plans? I don't know that. 14 15 Q Are there any that you know are UAW negotiated? 16 Ford and General Motors. 17 Α 18 And Honda you're not sure about? Q 19 Honda, no, I'm not sure. 20 Q This is a pretty detailed comparison. Is this

similar to -- is this level of detail useful as a

21

22

comparator?

```
Page 94
               I guess to what extent you're using it as a
 1
 2
     comparator for.
 3
               If you were in negotiations and you were
 4
     looking at what was on the table in terms of the
 5
     details of the plan that's being negotiated, is this
 6
     level of detail something that a bargainer, that you as
 7
     a bargainer would find useful?
 8
          Α
               Yeah.
 9
                                 (Exhibit No. 41 was marked for
10
                                 identification.)
11
               You have in front of you what's been marked as
12
     Exhibit 41 which is a seven-page document labeled A
13
     Comparison of Benefits, stamped Draft. It appears to
14
     compare various types of benefits including retiree
15
     medical coverage between Nissan, Mitsubishi and NUMMI.
16
     Are you familiar with this document?
17
          Α
               No, I'm not.
18
               Are any of the three plans compared here
19
     UAW-negotiated plans?
               I'm assuming NUMMI is.
20
               What about Nissan and Mitsubishi?
21
          Q
22
               I'm not really sure about Nissan and I'm not
          Α
```

```
Page 95
    positive about Mitsubishi, but it might be.
1
2
              As a negotiator, would a comparison of three
         Q
    non-UAW negotiated plans be useful?
 3
              I'm not sure. Would it be useful for what
 4
    purpose? Would it be useful to have? Probably just to
 5
    see what everybody else is doing.
 6
7
              Again, it's not -- the fact -- if none of
8
    these plans are non-UAW negotiated, it doesn't
9
    eliminate all value it has?
10
         Α
              No.
              MR. ROGACZEWSKI: I pass the witness.
11
12
              MR. MACEY: I have no questions.
13
              MR. RADTKE: I have no questions.
14
              (The deposition was concluded at 3:45 p.m.)
15
16
              I have read the foregoing pages, which are a
17
    correct transcript of the answers given by me to the
18
    questions therein recorded.
19
20
         Deponent _____
21
              Date
22
```

```
Page 96
 1
                          CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY
 2
          STATE OF MICHIGAN
 3
                                     ) SS
 4
          COUNTY OF MACOMB
 5
          I, Gail R. McLeod, Certified Shorthand Reporter, a
 6
     Notary Public in and for the above county and state, do
 7
     hereby certify that the above deposition was taken
     before me at the time and place hereinbefore set forth;
 8
 9
     that the witness was by me first duly sworn to testify
10
     to the truth, and nothing but the truth, that the
     foregoing questions asked and answers made by the
11
     witness were duly recorded by me stenographically and
12
     reduced to computer transcription; that this is a true,
13
     full and correct transcript of my stenographic notes so
14
     taken; and that I am not related to, nor of counsel to
15
16
     either party nor interested in the event of this cause.
17
18
          Gail R. McLeod, CSR 2901
19
          Notary Public,
20
         Macomb County, Michigan
21
         My Commission expires: September 23, 2017
22
```